	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/579,686	KONDO, NOBUHIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628

All Participants:

Status of Application: _Allowed_

(1) _DANIEL F. HAJNIK_.

(3) _____.

(2) _Ari Akmal (#51,388)_.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _15 May 2009_   **Time:** _9 AM_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
  If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 101

Claims discussed:
7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed amendment to put the case in condition for allowance and applicant agreed to such changes

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)